| 1 | Meeting Date: October 21, 2011 |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | North Florida Broadband Authority Emergency Meeting |
| 4 5 6 | Topic: The removal of Rapid Systems "for convenience" |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Speakers: |
| 10 11 | NFBA Board Chair Commissioner Jefferson County: Stephen Fulford |
| 12 | Representative of Cedar Key: Pat O'Neal |
| 13 | Gilchrist County Commissioner Tommy Langford |
| 14 | Councilman – White Springs: Walter McKenzie |
| 15 16 | Economic Development Director - Taylor County: Rick Breer |
| 17 | City Manager Lake City: Wendell Johnson |
| 18 | For Rapid Systems Chris Voehl |
| 19 20 | For Madison County Workforce Board Sheryl Rehberg |
| 21 | For Columbia County Todd Manning |
| 22 | Unknown Board Member Board Member |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Transcript prepared by: <u>Columbia County Observer</u> |



| 25 | 49:04 |
|----------------------------|--|
| 26 27 | Stephen Fulford : Everything we do from now on will meet the compliance requirements for the award. |
| 28 | Tommy Langford: It was a good investment. |
| 29 | (The hiring of the Washington law firm of Patton Boggs) |
| 30 31 32 | (Not explained was why the purported experts GSG, NGN, and CST were asked by the Federal Government to resign and why the NFBA has found itself in the fix that it is now in) |
| 33 | Mr. Fulford: Yes, it was a very good investment. |
| 34 | 49:36 |
| 35 | |
| 36 | (Terminating Rapid Systems) |
| 37 | |
| 38 39 40 41 42 | Mr. Fulford : Looking at the transition, it seemed like a good time to take this into consideration. It's an item that I have weighed heavily on for a while. This was put on here at my request. Given the status we're in with the transition, it makes good business sense to consider this termination for convenience with Rapid Systems |
| 43 | Pat O'Neal: Have we vetted this at all with the NTIA? |
| 44 | Mr. Fulford: We have. |
| 45 | Mr. O'Neal: Okay. |
| 46 47 | Wendell Johnson : Is Rapid Systems aware that this is being considered today? |
| 48 | Mr. Fulford: I don't think so. |
| 49 50 | Mr. Wendell Johnson : (Asks about the e-mail from Denise Hamilton, CFO of Rapid Systems regarding invoices) |

51 **Chris Voehl:** Our understanding from attending the CAP 52 [Corrective Action Plan] response and transition meeting, Wednesday, 53 was that the recommendation of that group and yourself included, 54 Wendell, would be to echo what Jacobs had recommended -- is that 55 we would be making (train goes by) a mistake to terminate our 56 contract and I believe what you said also was that moving all the 57 equipment would be cost prohibitive and our offer to the board to 58 assist during the transition period ... we would be willing and able to 59 assist the NFBA in their transition. 60 Mr. Fulford: I don't think Jacobs has made a recommendation one way or another on this. I know the big concern is the warehousing and 61 62 transferring of the equipment. That's an item that has definitely weighed heavily into my decision. We've been preparing for that for a 63 64 number of months. I think the estimate is that it will probably take us 65 about two weeks to do that. 66 If we get -- I mean -- you know -- if we get that done and we can get that done during this transition -- you know -- we are not going to be 67 68 ramping up for fieldwork and re-mobilizing -- you know -- people immediately -- so I think in the grand scheme of the project -- taking 69 the time to do that transition of equipment into NFBA custody -- I 70 71 don't think in the grand scheme of the project, it's really going to 72 affect the project -- the schedule of the project. 73 From a financial standpoint those transitions were going to happen 74 anyway -- those transfers -- transportation. 75 (Ms. Burgess Attorney of NGN gives her opinion. Folks give their 76 opinion). 77 54:17 78 Mr. Fulford: Any outstanding tasks that are not 79 completed at this time by Rapid Systems that are under their work 80 authorizations, we would probably draft a procurement to procure



81

82

83 84

85

those services. There are services that are covered under the Jacobs scope of work -- that are things that will be covered -- but primarily I

think anything that's being vacated that will be unfinished -- and I

would hope that we would be able to get most of the outstanding

tasks from rapid systems completed and wrapped up so that they can

| 86 87 | get final payment on those. But anything that is considered unfinished would be moved probably to procure those services. | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| 88 89 90 | Mr. Langford : I guess I am looking for justification. So this is basically your recommendation to the board that we do this termination? | |
| 91 | Mr. Fulford: Correct. | |
| 92 93 94 95 | Mr. Langford : Do you think it would have any effect on our transition as far as that goes or anything like that. I know he (Mr. Voehl) mentioned FRBA has 90 days, of course we've got 30 days. I guess it won't have a whole lot of affect on us? | |
| 96 97 98 99 | Mr. Fulford: Umm I don't I don't I haven't I don't see that it would. I think it's a transition that would occur Umm I mean Jacobs is positioned I mean they will be coming on as a project manager umm they haven't yet but ahh | |
| 100 | Mr. Langford: But they will be? | |
| 101 102 103 104 | Mr. Fulford : They will be. And Jacobs was procured also under the engineering services agreement engineering consulting agreement also. There are some items that they can do, or they can out source directly under their contract. | |
| 105 | Mr. Langford: And how soon will that be? | |
| 106 107 108 109 | Mr. Fulford : I think we should have that ready for the next meeting next board meeting. I think that the contract is drafted and prepared. They're still working on the scope of work to that contract. | |
| 110 | 56:47 | |
| 111 112 113 | Mr. Langford : Is this going to affect any of our equipment storage or anything like that? If we do this are we got to move that equipment or take up that lease or what we gonna do. | |
| 114 | (Conversation about the 10,000 sq. ft bldg. in Lake City) | |
| 115 | 58:10 | |



| 116 117 | Board Member : before we take action on t | Would it not be proper to notify Rapid this? |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 118 | Mr. Fulford: If that's the | will of the board. |
| 119 120 | Board Member: with that. | Personally I would feel more comfortable |
| 121 122 | Mr. Fulford: delay this decision until th | It's up to the board or if they would like to be next meeting. |
| 123 | Sheryl Rehberg: | I don't see any need to delay it. |
| 124 | 58:42 | |
| 125 126 127 128 129 | board if we delay this. I'm us is because you have co | Mr. Chairman at additional risk by nion we might be taking additional risk as a assumin that the reason you brought this to me to the conclusion that this is the best nd as my chairman I respect that. |
| 130 131 132 133 | • | It's been a long process for me to come to g at where we are and where we are going conclusion that it would be in the best |
| 134 135 136 | Relationship wasn't comfo | cooperation. Says he appreciated Rapid. rtable. He lost his comfort level. This is a business relationship and move on). |
| 137 138 | | he is not happy and he has no problem enise of Rapid and the folks at Rapid). |
| 139 140 | Mr. Fulford: best interest of the project | I think professionally it's just what's in the t. Ya know from a professional position. |
| 141 | Ms. Rehberg: | Is there a motion on the floor? |
| 142 143 | Mr. Wendell Johnson : you are proposing? | Does the NTIA, know, are they aware what |
| 144 145 | Mr. Fulford: heads up that I would ma | Yes. I did inform them. I gave them the ke this recommendation to the board. |

| 146 | 1:02:31 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 147 | (Discussing control of the equipment) |
| 148 149 150 151 152 | Mr. Fulford : That's been a concern that came up since August when Rapid Systems indicated sent a letter to the board indicating that they would be leaving breaching their contract and leaving the project. That's when that issue came up of the security of the resources. |
| 153 | (Someone asked something about the NTIA) |
| 154 155 | Mr. Fulford: It was. It was. Their primary concern was the stability of the relationship with Rapid |
| 156 157 | (Someone asks if Jacobs is going to warehouse and provide manpower to move the equipment) |
| 158 159 160 161 | Mr. Fulford : I think that's coming under Jacobs. That was already part of the GC contract that was being arranged when we were moving forward with doing a transfer. (People speaking at once) It will be under the project management contract. |
| 162 163 164 165 166 | Mr. Wendell Johnson : Just so I understand this rapid systems has two warehouses with equipment in it several million dollars of equipment so if we terminate the relationship with them is a your vision that we are going to relocate that equipment out of those warehouses into a centrally located warehouse somewhere? |
| 167 168 | Mr. Fulford : That is the direction we have been going and intending to execute yes |
| 169 | 1:04:00 |
| 170 171 172 173 | Mr. Wendell Johnson : So the discussion we had Wednesday in regards to interim occupancy and retaining the equipment just from a commonsense financial perspective that's not where we're going to head if we terminate Rapid Systems? |
| 174 175 176 | Mr. Fulford : I think reviewing that in reviewing the overall direction of the project, I think from a big picture standpoint that ends up being a smaller issue to deal with. |



| 177 178 179 | (Mr. Wendell Johnson brings up a Rapid employee that no longer is involved in the NFBA project. Then there is discussion wherein it is brought up that the employee in question still works for Rapid Systems |
|-------------------|---|
| 180 | (Ms. Rehberg looks over in the direction of the NFEDP's Jeff Hendry) |
| 181 182 183 | Ms. Rehberg : Mr. Chairman, in the interest of moving our project forward, so that we can keep this forward motion going, I offer a motion that we approve this notice of termination. |
| 184 | (Without taking a breath Columbia County's representative speaks up) |
| 185 | Todd Manning: I'll second it. |
| 186 | (The motion passed unanimously) |
| 187 | |